

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST MAMED INVENTOR				
00/000 210	03/28/2002	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9849		
09/980,318		Into Saarinen	901073.90049			
7590 10/06/2003			574.10			
John D Franzini			EXAMINER			
Quarles & Brady			CULBRETI	CULBRETH, ERIC D		
411 E Wisconsin Avenue Suite 2550 Milwaukee, WI 53202-4497			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
wiiiwaukee, wi	53202-4497		3616			
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant -	
			<i>,</i>	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summary	09/980,318		SAARINEN, INTO	
	^	Examiner		Art Unit	
_ ,	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Eric D Culbreth		3616	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
Fallu - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory m will apply and will expire	vever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi	cation.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) <u></u>		s action is non-	final		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under lion of Claims	nce except for f	ormal matters, or	osecution as to the mer 53 O.G. 213.	rits is
	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		ration		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		iduon.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election require	ement		
	on Papers	c.collon require	ment.		
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	ted or b)⊡ object	ted to by the Exan	niner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)[] 7				ed by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl				
12) T	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			, , , ,	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been rece	eived.		
;	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been rece	eived in Applicatio	n No.	
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the contract of the cont	ty documents ha	ave been received	I in this National Stage	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				ation)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language prov cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional applicati	on has been rece	ived.	
\ttachment(
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) $\underline{3}$.	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary (Notice of Informal Pa Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) Itent Application (PTO-152)	<u>.</u> .
Patent and Tra OL-326 (Re	* * - ::-	on Summary		Part of Paner	No 8

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The papers filed 11/15/01 are very confusing. A preliminary amendment was filed on that date to the specification, but a substitute specification and marked up copy of the specification was also submitted. Hence, it is not clear if the originally filed specification is to be amended, or if the substitute specification was to be substituted for the original specification.

In order to expedite prosecution, the examiner has assumed that the substitute specification was intended to be substituted for the original specification. The preliminary amendment to the specification filed 11/15/01 has not been entered. However, in order to follow proper form, the claims filed with the preliminary amendment of 11/21/01 have been entered.

2. The amendment filed 11/15/01 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: It is new matter at the end of the substitute specification to state that the present invention is not limited to the preferred embodiments and can be modified within the scope of the claims.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information

Art Unit: 3616

submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references listed or cited on pages 1 and 5 of the specification have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892 or initialed on a form PTO-1449, they have not been considered.

Drawings

- 4. The drawings are objected to because of the following informalities. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- a. Reference numeral 11 (page 6, line 1 of the substitute specification) is not on the drawings.
- b. Reference numeral 10a refers to a cover on page 5, line 18 and a collar part on page 4, line 15; a reference numeral should only refer to one part of the invention.

Specification

- 5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because legal phraseology ("means") should not be used. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 6. On page 2, line 20 "optimized" was changed to "optimized" by informal examiner's amendment in order to correct spelling.

Art Unit: 3616

7. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. See *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ 163 (CCPA 1973); and *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973).

This refers to page 5, lines 3-4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 9. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Generally, the specification of pages 4-5 of the substitute specification is confusing regarding Figure 4. and the collar part 10a and cover 10a, 10c. Part of the confusion may result because reference numerals 10a and 11 have both been used to refer to the cover part in the

Art Unit: 3616

specification. The drawing is particularly confusing because it appears that parts 3a, 3 and 10b as illustrated are the same part.

10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 11. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. In claims 1 and 13 there is no antecedent basis for "the operator".
 - b. In claim 5 "preferably" is indefinite, failing to positively define structure.
- c. In claims 6 and 17, there is no clear antecedent basis for "the lower one of the first and second means" (the first and second means have not been previously recited in the claims as one above the other).
- d. Regarding claim 10, the phrase "sheet-like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "-like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- e. Regarding claims 11 and 18, the phrase "for example" renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Art Unit: 3616

Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claims 1 and 13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 13. Claims 2-12 and 14-18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nystrom (U.S. Patents 5,368,119 and 6,398,293) and Isley are cited to show cabins that tilt.

Soviet Patent 765,086 is cited to show a spherical cabin.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric D Culbreth whose telephone number is 703/308-0360. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:30-7:00 alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 703-308-2089. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3616

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Eric D Culbreth Primary Examiner Art Unit 3616

ec

Ene Collette 9/26/03